COURT NO. 1 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

71.

OA 802/2023

Ex Hav Arvind Kumar Kushwaha Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant For Respondents

Mr. J P Sharma, Advocate Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER 16.02.2024

Invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the applicant filed this OA praying to direct the respondents to accept the disabilities of the applicant as attributable to/aggravated by military service and grant disability element of pension @40% rounded off to 50% with effect from the date of retirement of the applicant; along with all consequential benefits.

2. The applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 27.02.2006 and discharged from service on 31.07.2022 after serving for 16 years and 154 days of qualifying service. The Release Medical Board dated 27.04.2022 held that the applicant was fit to be discharged from service in composite

low medical category S1H1A1P2(P)E1 for the disabilities ~ (i) DIABETES MELLITUS Type II (E-11.8)" @ 20% for life, and (ii) PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (1~10) @30% for life, with composite disability @ 44% for life while the qualifying element for disability pension was recorded as NIL for life on account of disabilities being treated as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service (NANA).

- of grant applicant for the of The claim 3. the same and rejected pension was disability vide applicant communicated the to was P/15703127M/Rejection/DP-1/NER No. letter dated 24.06.2022 stating that the aforesaid disabilities were considered as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service. Against the said rejection, applicant preferred a first appeal dated 05.09.2022 which was rejected vide letter no. P/15703127/DP-2/NER dated 29.10.2022. Aggrieved by the aforesaid rejection, the applicant has approached this Tribunal.
 - 4. Placing reliance on the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Dharamvir Singh v. UOI & Ors [2013 (7) SCC 36]*, Learned Counsel for applicant argues that no note of any disability was recorded in the service documents of the applicant at the time of the entry into the service, and that he

served in the Army at various places in different environmental and service conditions in his prolonged service, thereby, any disability at the time of his service is deemed to be attributable to or aggravated by Military service.

- 5. Per Contra, Learned Counsel for the Respondents submits that under the provisions of 53 (a) Pension Regulations for Army Part-I (2008), the primary condition for the grant of disability pension is invalidation out of service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by Military service and is assessed @ 20% or more.
- 6. Relying on the aforesaid provision, Learned Counsel for respondents further submits that the aforesaid disabilities of the applicant were assessed as "neither attributable to nor aggravated" by Military service and not connected with the Military service. Thus, the applicant is not entitled for grant of disability pension due to policy constraints.
 - 7. On the careful perusal of the materials available on record and also the submissions made on behalf of the parties, we are of the opinion that it is not in dispute that the extent of disabilities was assessed to be above 20% which is the bare minimum for grant of disability pension in terms of Regulation 53 of the Pension Regulations for the

Indian Army, (Part-I) 2008. Now, the only question that arises in the above backdrop is whether the disabilities suffered by the applicant were attributable to or aggravated by Military service?

- 8. The issue of attributability of disease is no longer res integra in view of the verdict of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Dharamvir Singh v. Union of India (supra)*, wherein it is clearly spelt out that any disease contracted during service is presumed to be attributable to military service, if there is no record of any ailment at the time of enrollment into the military Service.
- 9. Furthermore, the issue regarding the attributability of Diabetes Mellitus has been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Commander Rakesh Pande v. Union of India (Civil Appeal No. 5970 of 2019) wherein the Apex Court has not only held that the Diabetes Mellitus is a disease which is of permanent nature and will entitle the applicant to disability pension, but also observed that in case where the disability is of permanent nature, the disability assessed by the Medical Board shall be treated for life and cannot be restricted for specific period.
 - 10. Regarding broadbanding benefits, we find that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated 10.12.2014 in

Union of India v. Ram Avtar, Civil Appeal No. 418 of 2012 and connected cases, has observed that individuals similarly placed as the applicant are entitled to rounding off the disability element of pension. We also find that the Government of India vide its Letter No. F.No.3(11)2010-D (Pen/Legal) Pt V, Ministry of Defence dated 18th April 2016 has issued instructions for implementation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 10.12.2014 (supra).

- 11. Applying the above parameters to the case at hand, we are of the view that the applicant has been discharged from service in low medical category on account of medical disease/disability, the disability must be presumed to have arisen in the course of service which must, in the absence of any reason recorded by the Medical Board, be presumed to have been attributable to or aggravated by military service.
- 12. Therefore, in view of our analysis, the OA is allowed and Respondents are directed to *grant benefit of disability element of pension compositely @ 44% for life* (for DIABETES MELLITUS Type II @ 20%, and PRIMARY HYPERTENSION @ 24% i.e. 30% of 80% for life), *rounded off to 50%* in view of judgement of Hon'ble Apex Court in Union of India versus Ram Avtar (supra) from the date of discharge i.e. 31.07.2022.

The arrears shall be disbursed to the applicant within four months of receipt of this order failing which it shall earn interest @ 6% p.a. till the actual date of payment.

- 13. Consequently, the O.A. 802/2023 is allowed.
- 14. No order as to costs.
- 15. Pending Miscellaneous applications, if any, stands closed.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON] CHAIRPERSON

> [LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY] MEMBER (A)

Ps OA 802/2023